Statement

List of selected candidates (Ex-Servicemen) who have been Offered appointment, su&ject to their being found medically fit, for the post of Security Guard in Bharat Electronics Limited, Sahibabad.

S. No.	Name
1.	Ex-NK SP Sharma
2.	Ex-HAV BK Bisht
3.	Ex-NK BS Negi
4.	Ex-SGT. IS Arya
5.	Ex-HAV JS Shekhawat
6.	Ex-DFR Raj Singh
7.	Ex-HAV Jai Singh
8.	Ex-NK Charan Singh
9.	Ex-HAV Dhan Bahadur
10.	Ex-HAV OV Singh
11.	Ex-HAV Jai Ram
12;	Ex-HAV RA Singh
13.	Ex-LS Har Saran
14.	Ex-NK MS Balahara
15.	Ex-NK Suresh Chand
16.	Ex-HAV Bharat Rai
17.	Ex-HAV DS Rawat
18.	Ex-HAV KS Rawat
19.	Ex-HAV SR Arya
20.	Ex-NK Kale Ram
21.	Ex-HAV Hari Chind

Additional Increments to Technical Assistants

2596. SHRI SHABBIR AHMAD SALARIA: Will the Minister of DEFENCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that three additional increments are granted to Technical Assistants working in the Joint Cipher Bureau, Ministry of Defence after successful completion of one year's inhouse training on promotion/fresh appointment in the grade;

(b) if so, whether this benefit has been given to the promotees/ fresh appointees of 1989 and 1990;

(c) if not, the reasons therefor; and

(d) by when Government propose to grant the said increments to the promotees/fresh appointees of 1989 and 1990?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINIS-

TER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (SHRI S. KRISHNA KUMAR) : (a) Prior to implementation of recommendations of IVth Pay Commission, 3 additional increments were being granted to Technical Assistants working in Joint Cipher Bureau (JCB). However, these increments were discontinued with effect from 1-1-86 as IVth Pay Commission did not recommend grant of the increments.

(b) No, Sir.

(c) and (d) As the IVth Pay Com mission did not recommend the grant of these increments, the same can't be granted.

Unauthorised Constructions in Cantonment Boards, Kanpur and Lucknow

2597. DR. BALDEV PRAKASH: Will the Minister of DEFENCE be pleased to state,-

(a) whether it is a fact that the Cantonment Boards at Kanpur and Lucknow are not preventing construction of multistoryed buildings for sometime in the past in their areas;

(b) if so, what are the details of the buildings thus constructed without any approval of the authorities; and

(c) what steps are being taken in the matter?

THE MINISTER OF DEFENCE (SHRI SHARAD PAWAR) : (a) to (c) There is no multi-storeyed building (building having more than 4 storeys) in Kanpur or Lucknow Cantonments. However, one three storey building, namely 161/162, Sadar Bazar, in Lucknow Cantonement, was constructed unauthorisedly in 1991. The Cantonment Board had initiated proceedings under the Cantonments Act, 1924, and also filed a civil suit in the Court of Civil Judge, Lucknow, for restraining the owner from carrying out further unauthorised construction. The Court has issued an injunction order restraining the owner from making:

(a) further unauthorised instruction; and

(b) letting out the unauthorised portion.

Certain unauthorised constructions of a few buildings (2 to 4 storeyed) have occurred in Kanpur Cantonment. The details of such constructions in Kanpur Cantonment, referred to in part (b) of the Question, as well as action taken in this regard by the Cantonment Board are being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

French offer for sale of Mirage N-Fighter

2598. DR. SANJAYA SINH:
SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY;

Will the Minister of DEFENCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the French Government had made an offer to sell CO Mirage N-Fighter Jets to India;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) whether Government have taken any decision in this regard; if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (SHRI S. KRISHNA KUMAR): (a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c) Do not arise.

Indo-Russian Joint Ventures

2599. DR. SANJAYA SINH: Witt the Minister of DEFENCE be "pleased, to state;

(a) whether it is a fact that Indo-Russian Joint ventures for armaments manufacturing are unlikely to materialise;